



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/996,087   | 11/28/2001      | Dave Draper          | FR920000043US1          | 2497             |  |
| 54856  | 7590 08/07/2006 |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER         |  |
| LOUIS PAUL HERZBERG<br>3 CLOVERDALE LANE<br>MONSEY, NY 10952 |                 |                      | NGUYEN,                 | NGUYEN, THUONG   |  |
|  |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| ,  |                 |                      | 2155                    |                  |  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/07/2006 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.         | Applicant(s)  |  |  |
|-------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| 09/996,087              | DRAPER ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner                | Art Unit      |  |  |
| Thuong (Tina) T. Nguyen | 2155          |  |  |

|   | aog ( ,  |  |
|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears  | on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence address   |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>28 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA   | ATION IN CONDITION FOR AL  | LOWANCE.   |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance witime periods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o         | fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)                     |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of t   | he final rejection.  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advis no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).   | ory Action, or (2) the date set forth than SIX MONTHS from the mailing     | g date of the final rejection.   |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.0   |  | THO THE ET WAS TIEZE WITHIN  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ion and the corresponding amount<br>tened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliar  | oce with 37 CFR 41 37 must be  | filed within two months of the date of   |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensic<br>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit  | on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since   |
| AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but   | prior to the date of filing a brief  | will not be entered because  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider   |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  | 2014.1011 4114.01 664.1011 (666 116  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or   | form for appeal by materially re   | ducing or simplifying the issues for   |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corr   | responding number of finally rej   | ected claims.  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116   | and 41.33(a)).   |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.  | See attached Notice of Non-Co  | ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).   |
| <ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>  | ·  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  | Il be entered and an explanation of  |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>No<i>n</i>e</u> .<br>Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .   |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21.</u><br>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .   |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and so was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | efore or on the date of filing a N<br>ufficient reasons why the affidav    | otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered<br>vit or other evidence is necessary and       |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a New entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary as   | come all rejections under appe   | al and/or appellant fails to provide a   |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | f the status of the claims after e   | entry is below or attached.  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but do  | pes NOT place the application i  | n condition for allowance because:   |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PT  | O/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N   | No(s)  |
| 13.  Other:   |  |  |
|   | Soli   | 7  |
|   | SALEH NAJJAR   |  |
| \$  | SUPERVISORY PATENT EX  | MINED  |
|   |  | JANIACH.   |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Futher search and/or consideration would be necessitated by the change in scope of the claims (e.g., "associated multi-lingual audio recordings with visual objects in a presentation system..." and "the steps of entering a number assigned to said visual object associated with said audio recording by the user at said telephone after said user has transmitted said user ID; step of transmitting a password by...; step of generating by said third party server a first file name...; steps of transmitting from said telephone another ID containing a first part defining a second language...; steps of copying said audio recording correspondings to said fist file name"). Also, please see the previous Advisory Action sent on 5/23/06 which stated the response to the arguments of the applicant.